Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report

Program Name (no acronyms): Anthropology Department: Sociology and Anthropology

Degree or Certificate Level: BA College/School: College of Arts and Sciences

Date (Month/Year): August 2022 Assessment Contact: Joel Jennings, MBA PhD

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2022

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2021

Is this program accredited by an external program/disciplinary/specialized accrediting organization? Yes

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please list the full, complete learning outcome statements and not just numbers, e.g., Outcomes 1 and 2.) We assessed learning outcome 2:

- 2. Graduates will demonstrate a broad knowledge base in the subfield of archaeological anthropology.
 - a. Assess the nature of the practice of archaeology as a science, including inductive and deductive reasoning, the s

Direct Methods:

1) During June 2022, a committee (Dr. Amy Cooper and Dr. Mary Vermillion) evaluated a sample of final research project presentation slides (5 of 25) using a rubric that focused on the four learning objectives.

Indirect Methods:

A second committee (Dr. Richard Colignon and Dr. Joel Jennings) also conducted focus groups with graduating seniors to identify specific issues with the program's delivery of methods courses and techniques.

5. Data/Results

What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcome(s)? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off-campus site)?

campus si	te)?								
Α									
3	3	1	1	3	4	5	5	1	4.5
a) 3.05	/ 5								
В									
2	3	1	2	2	3	5	1	2	4.5
b) 2.55	/ 5								
C									
2	2	2	2	3	4	4.5	5	1	5
c) 3.05	/ 5								
D									
3	2	1	3	1	3	4	5	1	5
d) 0 2.80	/ 5		8		6			9	

The committee's scoring of student artifacts was uneven. Much of the diverging assessment has to do with the chosen artifact and

B. How has this change/have these changes been assessed?

Anthropology revised its learning outcomes in the past year. This process has provided an opportunity to assess our new learning objectives and has resulted in the further refinement as detailed above (7B). Faculty are evaluating changes to the assessment protocol as assessments are being completed, and changes to the protocol are being implemented for the subsequent cycle.

C. What were the findings of the assessment?

The assessment process has pressed the faculty to think more clearly and succinctly about how we articulate our learning objectives so that we can more clearly score them.

D. How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

Based upon this assessment, sec V1 47d: (Rar 46 3A67 4 A 46 BEhV 20 67 Ly 16 4 A 46 BEhV 20 67 Ly 16 A 46 BEHV 20 A 46 BEHV

Rubric for Assessing Goal #2

Poor		Adequate		Excellent
The student provides no examples or misunderstands the examples.	sa i	The student provides examples, but they are mewhat brief, vague, or nsufficiently supported with scholarly citations.		The student provides detailed and insightful examples that are supported with citations to scholarly literature.
1	2	3	4	5
comments.				
B) Can the student expl	ain the role of t	he environment in the deve	elopmeni	v
B) Can the student expl Poor The student provides no examples or misunderstands the examples.	S c	he environment in the deve Adequate The student provides examples, but they are omewhat brief, vague, or nsufficiently supported vith scholarly citations.	elopmeni	t of human cultures? Excellent

C) Does the student demonstrate how archaeologists act as anthropologists when studying human cultures and cultural change?

Excellent Poor Adequate The student provides *The student provides The student provides* examples, but they are no examples or detailed and insightful misunderstands the somewhat brief, vague, or examples that are examples. supported with citations insufficiently supported tagen and some the model of the control of the cont 1 2 3 4 5

Comments: to1