

Faculty Senate Meeting Agenda
Tuesday May 9, 2023, 3:30 – 5:30 pm CDT
Via ZOOM: <https://slu.zoom.us/j/97281725414>
Meeting ID: 972 8172 5414
One tap mobile
+13126266799,99737928189# US (Chicago)

1. Call to Order 3:30
2. Roll Call: Names of participants are recorded in Zoom. Alternates should notify the Senate Secretary, Beth Baker, with the name of the Senator for whom they are substituting. Please also let her know your name and phone number if you are calling in. All FS meetings are recorded for record keeping purposes only.
3. Moment of Reflection (Terry Tomazic) 3:32
4. Approval of April minutes (separate attachment) 3:35
5. Retirement match revamp-new hires (Mickey Luna & Chris Sebelski) Q&A 3:40
6. Bookstore Contract (Steve Sanchez) Q&A 3:55
7. Academic Strategic Plan rollout (Mike Lewis) Q&A 4:05
8. President Report-Discussion of Faculty Senate priorities next year (Terry Tomazic & Chris Rollins) 4:20
9. FSEC member-at-large election 4:



7. Presentation of Academic Strategic Plan activities (Mike Lewis)
 - a. Academic Strategic Plan kickoff event will be held on May 3rd 3-4:30pm in BSC 300
 - b. Please RSVP to kickoff here:
https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSd6HEil4WAe5SgJ0_4CV9Xh5GSgmM-Jo6fos_uH-D-5U9FvKA/viewform
8. Discussion of AI/GPT/academic integrity, as raised by senators from different units (Mike Lewis, Debra Lohe, and Gina Merys)
 - a. Faculty need a variety and better set of tools to manage academic integrity (including more than just plagiarism) across the University
 - b. The library is hoping to work with computer science about the strengths/weaknesses to address Chat GPT. Faculty should feel free to contact librarians to address concerns.
 - c. The Provost highlighted his preference is to encourage faculty to change their teaching approach (e.g., not use the same exam each year). However, if there is the need for purchasing an "app" to address academic integrity, he has requested a single software solution across the University rather than different solutions for each college/unit. ITS doesn't have the resources to have inefficiencies in our strategies.
 - d. Debra Lohe encouraged faculty to consider course design and assessment, student agency, and SLU policies/processes regarding academic integrity (in particular across unit). In addressing these issues, she indicated that there needs to be a campus-wide group and that faculty need to consider added labor for faculty and be sure we gather and use tools that help with learning not just policing. It was noted that the academic integrity committee (Lisa Dorsey chair) is working on both faculty and student education about these issues and these discussions are on-going. It was suggested that Lisa Dorsey might come to the FS to share the work of this committee.
 - e. Faculty encouraged the FS to continue to have guided discussions about how to remain on the cutting-edge of the pedagogical challenges created by ChatGPT and other issues related to academic integrity.
 - f. It was suggested that the academic integrity committee work with ITS and AAC in addressing these issues.
 - g. Other faculty encouraged us to recognize that many faculty have courses that are designed to provide multiple opportunities for students to respond, to construct knowledge, and to receive feedback prior to evaluation. But they also highlighted the importance of tools to ensure that students' submissions in response to these learning opportunities are their own.
9. Plans for Faculty Senate activities for next year (Chris Rollins) FS were asked if the senators wanted to meet in person/hybrid or remain on zoom. The vote was split about 50/50.
10. Old business

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT